Automatic recognition of muscle invasive T-lymphocytes expressing dipeptidyl-peptidase IV (CD26), and analysis of the associated cell surface phenotypes.
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Abstract

A neural cell detection system (NCDS) for the automatic quantitation of fluorescent lymphocytes in tissue sections was used to analyse CD26 expression in muscle-invasive T cells. CD26 is a cell-surface dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP IV) involved in co-stimulatory activation of T cells and also in adhesive events. The NCDS system acquires visual knowledge from a set of training cell image patches selected by a user. The trained system evaluates an image in two minutes calculating (i) the number, (ii) the positions, and (iii) the phenotypes of the fluorescent cells. In the present study we have used the NCDS to identity DPP IV (CD26) expressing invasive lymphocytes in sarcoid myopathy and to analyse the associated cell surface phenotypes. We find highly unusual phenotypes characterized by differential combination of seven cell-surface receptors usually involved in co-stimulatory events in T lymphocytes. The data support a differential adhesive rather than a co-stimulatory role of CD26 in muscle invasive cells. The adaptability of the NCDS algorithm to diverse types of cells should enable us to approach any invasion process, including invasion of malignant cells.
I Introduction

Dipeptidyl - peptidase IV (DPP IV, CD26) is a cell surface transmembrane protein characterized by a short N-terminal cytoplasmic domain and a long extra cellular region with a sugar rich and a Cys-rich domain. A third 260 aminoacid C-terminal extracellular region was found to exhibit DPP IV enzyme catalytic activity, [Darmoul et al, 1992; Hegen et al, 1990; Tanaka et al, 1992]. DPP IV is a member of the prolyl oligopeptidase family which is defined by the requirement of the catalytic triad in the unique order Ser, Asp, His [Abbot et al, 1994]. The enzyme cleaves amino-terminal dipeptides with either L- proline or L- alanine in the penultimate position.

DPP IV has been shown to be expressed by a variety of cell types including T and B lymphocytes, activated NK cells, and by epithelia of the intestine, the prostate, and the proximal tubuli of kidneys [Bühling et al, 1995; Hegen et al, 1990; Stein et al, 1989]. DPP IV is implicated in inflammatory processes and appears to play a part in the progression of certain malignant tumours [Iwata and Morimoto, 1999; Morrison et al, 1993]. Given the cellular immune response [Morimoto and Schlossmann, 1998], an important function of DPP IV is its role as a co-stimulatory cell surface protein that is involved in the activation of the T lymphocyte.

Within the T cell activation cascade, antibody- induced stimulation of DPP IV leads to tyrosine phosphorylation of several intracellular proteins with a similar pattern to that seen after stimulation of the T cell antigen receptor (TCR)/CD3 complex of CD4- or CD8- expressing T cells [Hegen et al, 1997]. Given stimulation of T cells via this complex, CD26 provides a true co-stimulatory function that can up-regulate the signal-transducing properties of the TCR.
In peripheral blood the T lymphocytes that express DPP IV are either CD4 or CD8 positive cells, and, as a rule show co-expression of intact TCR/CD3 complexes. Hence a T cell that can be co-stimulated via CD26 is a CD4+/CD3+/TCR+/CD26+, or a CD8+/CD3+/TCR+/CD26+ T cell. In addition, the majority of these T cells also express CD2, which can provide an alternative pathway of T cell activation [Davis, S.J., et al, 1998].

In the present paper we have addressed the expression of DPP IV and DPP IV-associated cell surface phenotypes in muscle-invasive T lymphocytes. Multi-epitope imaging microscopy [Schubert, 1992] was used to co-localize seven different cell surface proteins including CD26 on the cell surface of these muscle invasive T cells. The latter technology was coupled to a new learning algorithm [Nattkemper et al. 1999; Nattkemper et al. 2000 a], that automatically recognises T cells within tissue sections obtained from patients suffering from chronic inflammatory muscle disease. We have selected chronic sarcoid myopathy, which represents a human disease type showing T lymphocyte invasion of the muscle tissue. The T lymphocytes are present to a large extent within the connective tissue between the muscle fiber fascicles, a space that is defined as the perimysium. In addition, sarcoid myopathy is characterized by the formation of giant cells, that is supposed to be driven by the cooperation between T cells and macrophages [Wahlstrom, J. et al. 1999].

In the present study we have examined whether muscle-invasive T-lymphocytes exhibit a cell surface phenotype required for T cell activation and CD26-associated T cell stimulation. Using a library of seven different monoclonal antibodies we find that the majority of the T cells do not co-express the cell surface receptor sets, that would be required for T cell activation via the co-stimulator molecule CD26. Instead these T cells
express unusual cell surface phenotypes by heterogenous receptor combinations, most of which are minus-variants of the phenotypes found in the blood. We suggest that these “unusual” cell surface phenotypes are involved in differential adhesion mechanisms and T cell migration rather than T cell activation.

We also describe a learning algorithm, by which T cells within the tissue can be automatically recognized and quantified. Given that a large number of tissue sections have to be mapped by multi-epitope imaging, the algorithm opens the possibility for high-throughput screening of invasive lymphocytes in tissues [Nattkemper et al. 2000a]. The adaptability of the algorithm to diverse types of cells should enable us to approach any invasion process, including invasion of malignant cells.

II Imaging Methods

In order to address the CD26-associated phenotype of muscle-invasive T cells, we have applied seven monoclonal antibodies directed against cell surface antigens (CD antigens) in cryosections of diagnostic biopsies. The antigens are listed in Table I. All antibodies were directly conjugated to dyes and applied to the tissue sections as described earlier [Schubert, 1992]. Each fluorescent signal was recorded as a digitised image by a cooled CCD camera. Fluorescent cells were either localized by medical experts using a mouse-delineation of the fluorescent area, or were automatically recognized by the learning algorithm described below.
III Automatic T cell fluorescence pattern recognition

To identify CD26 positive T cells and other T cell types in tissue sections we used a modular computer system that detects the positions of up to 95% of all fluorescent lymphocytes in one given input image $M$, the digitised fluorescence micrograph [Nattkemper et al. 1999]. The first module of the cell detection system is a trained cell classifier that classifies a square image region $p$ of 15 x 15 pixels to a so-called evidence value $C(p) \in [0;1]$ representing the probability that the center of $p$ is occupied by a fluorescent cell. The second module evaluates the evidence values of all points in $M$ to a list of positions of fluorescent lymphocytes. The positions of the detected cells are visualized on a screen and stored in a database. In the following subsections we describe the cell detection system briefly, see [Nattkemper et al., 1999; Nattkemper et al., 2000] for details.

IV Training of the Cell Classifier

The cell classifier $C(p)$ is a trained artificial neural network (ANN). Neural Networks have been shown to be powerful classification tools in many industrial computer vision applications. In biomedical image analysis the application of artificial neural networks is not frequent, and only recently applications have been published [Sjoestroem et al., 1999].

To map an image region $p$ to its evidence value, six numerical feature values are calculated for $p$ and combined to a so-called feature vector $x \in IR^6$. Here the term “vector” describes a set of numerical elements as used in the field of computational pattern recognition. The computation of the feature vector is described in 1.2. The
trained ANN computes the evidence value for $p$ by mapping its feature vector $x$ to $C(x)$ using the learned classification mappings $C : IR^k \mapsto IR$.

The ANN used for cell classification is of Local Linear Map-type (LLM) which was introduced by Ritter, 1991 and has been shown to be a powerful tool in fast learning of non-linear mappings,

$$C : IR^{d_{in}} \mapsto IR^{d_{out}},$$

such as classification tasks in Computer Vision applications [Heidemann and Ritter, 1999]. The LLM-approach was originally motivated by the Kohonen Self-organizing Map [Kohonen, 1989] with the aim to obtain a good map resolution even with a small number of units. In the LLM learning scheme unsupervised and supervised learning are combined in contrast to the widely used multi-layer perception trained with back-propagation [Rumelhart et al., 1986]. The LLM is given through

$$\left\{ w_{i}^{in} \in IR^{d_{in}}, w_{i}^{out} \in IR^{d_{out}}, A_{i} \in IR^{d_{out}d_{out}}, i = 1..n \right\}$$

and a triple $v_{i} = (w_{i}^{in}, w_{i}^{out}, A_{i})$ is called node. In the present work the LLM parameters are $d_{in} = 6, d_{out} = 1, n = 25$.

By calculating

$$C(x) = w_{k}^{out} + A_{k} \left( x - w_{k}^{in} \right)$$

the input feature vector $x$ is mapped to the evidence value $C(x)$. $k$ holds

$$k = \arg \min \| x - w_{i}^{in} \|,$$

so $w_{k}^{in}$ is the nearest neighbour to input $x$. An illustration is given in Figure 1.

The three free parameters of each of the $n$ nodes ($w_{i}^{in}, w_{i}^{out}, A_{i}, i = i..n$) are trained with a pre-selected training set $\Gamma$ of $m$ (input, output)-pairs,

$$\Gamma = \{(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha})\}; \alpha = 1..m$$
that is composed of two subsets $\Gamma = \Gamma^\text{pos} \cup \Gamma^\text{neg}$. The so called positive set $\Gamma^\text{pos} = \{ (x^\text{pos}_\alpha, 1) \}$ consists of feature vectors $x^\text{pos}_\alpha$ computed (see below) from image patches centered at positive training samples for fluorescent cells, together with the target output value $y^\text{pos}_\alpha = C(x^\text{pos}_\alpha) = 1$ of the classifier. The negative set $\Gamma^\text{neg} = \{ (x^\text{neg}_\alpha, 0) \}$ consists of feature vectors $x^\text{neg}_\alpha$ computed from non-cell image patches (see below) and their target classification output value $y^\text{neg}_\alpha = C(x^\text{neg}_\alpha) = 0$.

To obtain 15 x 15 sized image patches for computing, the feature vectors $x^\text{pos}_\alpha \in \Gamma^\text{pos}$ are an interactive program displaying the digitised microscope fluorescence images and allowing users to select cell centers with the aid of a mouse cursor. The set $\Gamma^\text{neg}$ is then generated automatically by randomly selecting image points in a minimum distance of $r_{\text{neg}} = 5$ pixles from any of the selected cells of $\Gamma^\text{pos}$. For each of these randomly selected points a feature vector $x^\text{neg}_\alpha$ is computed by the same procedure as for $\Gamma^\text{pos}$. In one training step of the LLM first one input-output pair $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$ is selected randomly from the training set $\Gamma = \{ (x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \}$, secondly the best-match node $\nu_k$ is found, and third its weights are changed according to the following learning rules

\[
\Delta w^\text{in}_k = \epsilon^\text{in} (x_\alpha - w^\text{in}_k) \quad (1) \\
\Delta w^\text{out}_k = \epsilon^\text{out} (y_\alpha - C(x_\alpha)) + A_k \Delta w^\text{in}_k \quad (2) \\
\Delta A_k = \epsilon^A (y_\alpha - C(x_\alpha)) \frac{(x_\alpha - w^\text{in}_k)^T}{\|x_\alpha - w^\text{in}_k\|^2} \quad (3)
\]

with $\epsilon^\text{in}, \epsilon^\text{out}, \epsilon^A \in [0,1]$ as exponentially decreasing learning step sizes. Looking at the rules, one can observe that learning rule (1) is an online version of k-means [Moody and Darken, 1989] for positioning the n centers of $w^\text{in}_i$. And (2) and (3) adjust a linear
mapping specified by vector $w^{out}_{ki}$ and matrix $A_k$ in the vicinity (Voroni cell) around the best match node.

V Calculation of Cell Features

In the development of a classification system a suitable feature computation is crucial for the performance of the system. In the context of this work the cell features should be robust against small changes of size, intensity and curvature of the fluorescent cells in the 15 x 15 patches. Because the cells are in muscle tissue, their size, intensity and shape show considerable variation and also non-cells in the 6-dimensional feature space. One way to map an image point $p$ to a feature vector $x \in IR^6$ is to calculate the overlaps of a surrounding image region of size 15 x 15 with a set of 6 filters, such as Gabor filters [Dunn et al., 1994; Lee, 1996] or steerable derivatives of 2-dimensional Gaussians [Rao and Ballard, 1995]. The disadvantage of such filters is that they contain several parameters (radius, orientations, etc.) which have to be fitted according to the particular application. This is difficult and/or time-consuming for a non-expert user. To avoid such problems we use here a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on a set of 15 x 15-sized image patches of centered cells, which is a data-driven approach.

In this application the PCA-technique uses 6 eigenvectors $u_j \in IR^{15^2}$ (so called “eigencells”) of the covariance matrix of 15 x 15 image patches. The patches are the 15 x 15-sized image regions around the handselected image points of fluorescent cells which were selected for training (see above). The usage of such eigenvectors is a well-known technique for detection tasks [Turk and Pentland, 1991; Yarchmin et al., 1997]. A listing of the eigenvalues in descending order reveals that the majority of the variance in the image data is described by the six eigencells of the six highest eigenvalues.
Figure 2 shows 6 eigencells computed from the training set. These are taken to generate the 6-dimensional feature vector \( \mathbf{x} \) for an image point by scalar multiplication of its 15 x 15 neighborhood with the six eigencells.

**VI Cell Detection in Fluorescence Micrographs with the LLM**

For the full automatic detection of CD26 positive cells and other T cell types in one given micrograph every image point \( p \) is mapped to its evidence value [0;1] by calculating the LLM output \( C(\mathbf{x}) \in [0,1] \) for its feature vector \( \mathbf{x} \) of its surrounding 15 x 15-image patch. Its mapping evidences of all points to their corresponding image positions we achieve the so-called evidence map. A point \( p_e \) in the evidence map, which has the highest evidence value \( C(\mathbf{x}) \) within a 5-pixel radius above a given evidence threshold \( t_e = 0.5 \), is the result position of the center of one fluorescent cell, so \( C(\mathbf{x}) \) obeys

\[
C(p) > 0.5 \land C(p) = \arg \max \{ C(p') \}, \quad d(p', p) < 5
\]

One input micrograph (A), its evidence map (B) and the detected fluorescent cells (C) are shown in Figure 3.

**VII Results and Discussion**

In the present paper we have analysed invasive T-lymphocytes in muscle tissue for the expression of dipeptidyl-peptidase IV (CD26). To analyse the cell surface receptor expression patterns of these T cells we used the multi-epitope imaging approach [Schubert, 1992], in a new form working at the level of single clearly identifiable cells.
(details will be published elsewhere). Here we used a limited number of seven monoclonal antibodies to simultaneously detect seven different T cell surface proteins. By this approach we have addressed the role of CD26 as a co-stimulatory molecule in the T cell activation cascade, in order to examine, whether muscle invasive T cells in sarcoid myopathy do or do not show the expected CD26-associated patterns of receptor expression. This study extends the data obtained by the analysis of lymphocyte associated proteins found to be expressed in muscle tissue [Schubert et al. 1989, 1991, 1993; Haars et al. 2000].

The muscle-invasive T cells were found to be present as low-density infiltrates in the perimysium of the muscle tissue. Surprisingly, the majority of these cells were negative for CD26, indicating that activation of T cells via the CD26 dependent pathway does not play a key role in this disease. CD19⁺ B- lymphocytes were rare and these did not express CD26. Together, only 5,4 % of the T cells expressed CD26 (see Table II). These cells showed substantial variation according to differential expression of the six other cell surface receptors (CD2, CD3, CD4, CD8, CD11b). Among the CD26-positive cell fraction, the co-expression of CD3 and CD4 or CD8 and CD3 was seen most frequently, whilst few CD26-positive cells showed co-expression of CD4 or CD8 alone. An unusual T cell type was seen which shows co-expression of CD2, CD11b and CD2, whilst CD4, CD8 and CD3 were absent in these cells. Finally the vast majority of T cells, which were negative for CD26 (~ 95 %) also showed a highly unusual phenotype characterized either by expression of CD4 alone, or CD2 alone, whilst co-expression of the CD3 receptor complex was restricted to only a minor fraction of CD4-positive and CD8-positive T lymphocytes (for summary see Table II).
Together these data show, that muscle-invasive T lymphocytes in sarcoid myopathy show a highly unusual phenotype at two levels: First, T lymphocytes in the peripheral blood, that express CD26, are CD4-positive or CD8-positive T cells which are also characterized by co-expression of the CD3 complex, as a rule, and most of these T cells also show co-expression of CD2, which is implicated in an alternative pathway of T cell activation [Davis et al, 1998]. In the present study it is shown that within the minor fraction of muscle invasive T cells, which show the expression of CD26 (5.6 % of all cells), only approximately one half of these cells do show the CD2/CD3/CD4/CD26 or the CD2/CD3/CD8/CD26 phenotype as expected for a fully “equipped “ T cell. The other half of the CD26-positive cells express minus-variants of this pattern, characterized by omission of one or more of the CD3/CD2/CD8 or CD4 receptors. Interestingly, in these cells, CD26 may occur together with the αM integrin CD11b. It is unclear at present, whether the latter cells are T cells or macrophages. Together, a fraction of the muscle-invasive T cells show both cell surfaces that are compatible and others that are not compatible with a role of CD26 as a co-stimulatory signal of T cell activation.

Second, the majority of T cells, that have invaded the muscle tissue in sarcoid myopathy are clear-cut minus-variants of the T cell surface expression patterns normally found in the blood, because these cells in situ only express either CD4 or CD2 (together 64 % of all invasive T cells), whilst CD3, CD8, CD11b and CD26 are absent. Another T cell fraction, which is substantially less frequent is the CD2+/CD4+ (15 %) and the CD2+/CD3+/CD4+ T cell type (5 %). Only the latter one would reflect the “normal” cell surface expression pattern of a CD4 T cell. This demonstrates the predominant presence of T cells, which, as a rule, lack receptor patterns at the cell surface which would be obligatory for the T cell activation cascade. Hence, it is very unlikely that T cells
invading the muscle tissue in sarcoid myopathy undergo T cell activation via the known battery of co-stimulatory receptors.

Alternatively we would like to suggest, that the heterogeneous ‘unusual’ combinatorial receptor phenotypes of the T cell surface are involved in differential adhesive functions and migratory mechanisms. This view is supported by the fact, that CD26, besides its role as a co-stimulatory signal in T cell activation, also exerts adhesive functions by binding to collagen [Dang et al, 1990]. Adhesive functions have also been assigned to CD2, CD8, CD4 and CD11b [Pigott R. and Power C., 1993; Barclay A.N., 1993]. We therefore suggest, that all phenotypes detected in muscle tissue in our present study (Table II) are implicated in cell surface ‘decision-processes’ that either fix the T cell at a certain position in the tissue or promote T cell migration. The T cell may acquire this function by differentially combining receptors in a manner illustrated by the data presented in Table II.

The neural classifier used in the present study enables us to analyse CD26+ and CD26− T cell phenotypes at a large scale. The disease-associated phenotypic data presented here and the NCDS as a high-throughput approach could provide important links for mathematical modelling T cell invasion at an integrated molecular and cellular level.
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Table I: CD cell surface antigen analysed in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CD antigen</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Monoclonal antibody clone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD 26</td>
<td>DPP IV; adhesive deaminase binding protein</td>
<td>L272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 8</td>
<td>Co-recognition receptor for MHC class I with TCR</td>
<td>B9.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 4</td>
<td>Co-recognition receptor for MHC class II with TCR</td>
<td>13B8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 11b</td>
<td>α M integrin chain of MAC-1 complex, C3bi receptor ‘CR3”</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 19</td>
<td>receptor of the Slg family, modulates B cell responsiveness</td>
<td>J.4.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 2</td>
<td>SRBC receptor, ligand for LFA 3 (CD58)</td>
<td>39C1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3</td>
<td>Signal transduction receptor complex associated with TCR</td>
<td>UCHT1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II: Simultaneous detection of 24 T cell phenotypes expressed different combinations of 7 different cell surface receptors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combinatorial phenotype</th>
<th>CD2</th>
<th>CD3</th>
<th>CD4</th>
<th>CD8</th>
<th>CD11b</th>
<th>CD19</th>
<th>CD26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frequency [%] of T cell in muscle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Example illustrating a Local Linear Map approximating a mapping with six nodes. The LLM’s node’s \( w_i \) form Voroni cells of the input space. The mapping into the output space is performed by a local linear transformation given by \( A_k \) and \( w_k^{\text{out}} \). First, the nearest neighbor \( w_k^{\text{in}} \) to the input is selected, then the input is mapped via the coupled matrix \( A_k \).

Figure 2: Eigencells calculated from manual mouse-click selection of 120 cross-sectioned lymphocytes.
Figure 3: The detection of CD26 fluorescent lymphocytes in muscle tissue is illustrated. Figure 3 A shows the input image of invading lymphocytes in muscle tissue. The cells were immunolabeled with anti-CD26. The evidence map computed by the LLM is illustrated in Figure 3 B. The evidence values are scaled to [0;255] for visualization purposes. A high value stands for a high evidence of a fluorescent cell. The finally detected positions of fluorescent cells as indicated by white boxes are shown in Figure 3 C. A typical image showing five lymphocytes is shown in the inset on the right hand side of each picture.